The present and future of deep learning in radiology
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The advent of Deep Learning (DL) is poised to dramatically change the delivery of healthcare in the near future. Not only has DL profoundly affected the healthcare industry it has also influenced global businesses. Within a span of very few years, advances such as self-driving cars, robots performing jobs that are hazardous to human, and chat bots talking with human operators have proved that DL has already made large impact on our lives. The open source nature of DL and decreasing prices of computer hardware will further propel such changes. In healthcare, the potential is immense due to the need to automate the processes and evolve error free paradigms. The sheer quantum of DL publications in healthcare has surpassed other domains growing at a very fast pace, particular in radiology. It is therefore imperative for the radiologists to learn about DL and how it differs from other approaches of Artificial Intelligence (AI). The next generation of radiology will see a significant role of DL and will likely serve as the base for augmented radiology (AR). Better clinical judgement by AR will help in improving the quality of life and help in life saving decisions, while lowering healthcare costs.

A comprehensive review of DL as well as its implications upon the healthcare is presented in this review. We had analysed 150 articles of DL in healthcare domain from PubMed, Google Scholar, and IEEE EXPLORE focused in medical imagery only. We have further examined the ethic, moral and legal issues surrounding the use of DL in medical imaging.

Abbreviations: ANN, artificial neural network; cIMT, carotid intima-media thickness; CNN, convolution neural network; CT, computed tomography; DBN, deep belief network; DL, deep learning; ELM, extreme learning machine; FCN, fully connected network; FLd, fatty liver disease; GPU, graphics processing unit; LI, lumen-intima; MA, media adventitia; ML, machine learning; MLP, multi-layer perceptron; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; RNN, residual neural network; TC, tissue characterization
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1. Introduction

The idea of machine learning (ML) takes inspiration from the initial work done on the cat’s visual cortex by Hubel and Wiesel [1]. The visual depiction of visual cortex was earlier thought to be a holistic process, but was found to be hierarchical (explained later), this discovery led to the foundation of artificial neural networks (ANNs) [2] and subsequently deep learning [3]. In the Fig. 1, the human brain is represented as a hierarchical network of neuron layers. The neurons do the computation on input data from lower layer neurons and pass output to other higher layer neurons through the network. There are five basic layers of neurons: primary visual cortex (V1), secondary visual cortex (V2), V4, inferotemporal cortex (IT) posterior and IT-anterior layer of neurons as shown in Fig. 1. The lower level neurons (shown in Fig. 1) in the V1 detects basic features such as border line features of objects or edges. The V2 neurons encode these elementary features into junctions of lines to create basic visual features. The V4 layer neurons detect more complex combinations of these features. The IT posterior neurons detect and recognize entire object shapes (such as face) over a wide range of locations, sizes, and angles as shown in Fig. 1. The IT-anterior neurons form a more abstract or semantic meaning about the visual information. The first worthwhile implementation of this knowledge was in the form of weighted single-layer artificial neural network called perceptron [4]. The perceptron is a single-layer network capable of binary classification, such as low or high risk. It works by drawing a boundary between the two classes of features. The process of drawing boundary based on known examples (whose outputs are known) is called training. It applies a greedy approach of finding the first best-fitting boundary which separates the classes by changing the weights of the neurons in the first layer in an iterative fashion. These weights are just like the coefficients of linear equation (an equation in which there are terms of degree one and having the coefficients to these terms) which resolves by changing itself iteratively until it reaches the solution point. It is reached if the error or the difference between the desired and the actual output of the perceptron becomes zero for all training samples. The accuracy is checked by testing the trained perceptron on unknown examples (whose outputs are unknown). Note that perceptron will succeed only when the two classes are linearly separable [5]. For classes which are not linearly separable, the solution is mapped to a non-linear separation by introducing a hidden layer between the input and output layers [6] (discussed later), also called multi-layer perceptron (MLP). Other similar examples of single-layer neural networks developed during this period were Radial Basis Neural Network [7], Support Vector Machines [8], and Extreme Learning Machine [9]. The learning laws used for training of single- or multi-layer perceptron were categorized into two groups i.e., error correction rules and gradient rules [10]. The error (between desired and actual output) correction rules used is linear error measure to reduce error at the output while in the gradient rules, the weights of a network were altered with an objective of reducing mean-squared error. In this regard, back propagation algorithm developed by Hinton et al. [11], is an important landmark in the field of learning laws which had the ability of quick generalization. In the field of radiology, the contribution of ML for classification of the disease severity and organ segmentation (or segregation) has been significant. Examples of classification framework include detection of fatty liver disease from liver ultrasound images [12–14], or ovarian cancer detection and risk stratification from ultrasound images [15–17], or stroke risk stratification using carotid ultrasound [18,19]. Example of segmentation in radiological images include: carotid artery segmentation from ultrasound images for carotid intima-media thickness and lumen segmentation [20–22], plaque characterization from CT images [23], brain segmentation from MR images/volumes [24] and left ventricle segmentation [25] from MR images.

Up to now, the strategy followed for image classification or segmentation was similar: extract features from images using feature extraction algorithm, selection of best features, if the number of features are large, and then application of the machine learning algorithms to transform the online features by the offline training coefficients to either classify the tissues or delineate the components of the images as part of segmentation. This effectively translates to the functionality and processing of human brain’s neural responses to the different visual stimuli [26,27]. Experiments by Kay et al. [28] showed that Gabor [29] filters or their variations can explain the responses of primary visual cortex of human subjects but not further. This so happens because neurons at lower levels respond to simple lines at different angles i.e., percepton. As the number of hidden layers increases (the network becomes Deeper), the higher level neurons respond to complex relationships between features i.e., lines which are perpendicular to each other. This also encompasses the hierarchical learning in a gist of the visual cortex as already shown in Fig. 1.

An important milestone in transforming the machine learning to deep learning was achieved by Krizhevsky et al. [30] during implementation of ImageNet in 2012. The authors proposed a DL [31] model i.e., AlexNet that achieved 15.2% top-5 classification error (Err) while segregating 1.2 million high-resolution images in 1000 different classes. AlexNet was the first deep learning model (five layer deep network (CNN) [31] (discussed later) to be applied in the ImageNet challenge. Since then, the error has been reduced remarkably to 2.25% recently ([32–36]) with the number of deep layers increasing each year. Each DL model and their error rate are given in Table 1. In this review, we have collected information on current research on DL applications in several fields such as genomics, imaging and signal processing. Initially, we looked used the keyword “deep learning”, “convolution”, “healthcare” using search engines such as Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, PubMed, SCOPUS and IEEE databases, however this search was inconclusive as it opened up almost all deep learning related works. We therefore modified our search terms to include image modalities such as “MRI”, “CT”, “Ultrasound” etc and specific keywords such as “DNA sequencing”, “gene”, etc. We segregated the relevant research outputs
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Model Name</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Top-5 Err (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AlexNet [31]</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>VGG16 [33]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GoogleNet [34]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>3.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ResNet [35]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>3.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Squeeze-and-Excitation [36]</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2.25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Top-5 error rate is the fraction of test images for which the correct label is not among the five labels considered most probable by the model.*

as per the type of modality i.e., “MRI”, “CT”, “DNA sequencing” etc. Inside each segregated class, we further categorized each paper as their organ or disease type. This search went on iteratively bimonthly. We had collected over 150 articles during this process. We focused on medical imagery in the deep learning paradigm for the review paper and selected few papers published recently based on organ types to assess the work done in various physiologies.*

There are several key differences between ML and DL methodologies. The DL methods learn high-level representations of the data using multilayer computational models which are useful in organ segmentation or disease classification in images. Conventional models such as ANNs require pre-processing and input clinical ground truth yielding radiological feature extraction whereas, DL, allows the algorithm to automatically learn features from raw and noisy data and therefore helps in superior training and subsequently superior learning. It does so by using the concepts of dimensionality reduction (compression of images), non-linearity (variations in the intensity distribution).

DL optimizes feature engineering process to provide the software classifier with the information that maximizes its performance with respect to the final task leading to its superior training. DL models are capable of extraction of highly complex, nonlinear dependencies from raw data which is responsible for superior training. Due to increase in volume of raw datasets, DL models can be used in jointly learning from a combination of datasets, without worrying about the commonality or discord of features. DL can be used for multi-task learning i.e., using the same data for different purposes, such as, both disease classification and organ segmentation [37]. The DL explains the neural response to visual stimuli for the entire visual cortex of the human brain [38–40]. This particular property enables DL models pre-trained on a particular subject while to be tested on different subjects if the features of the training and test subjects have similar cortical representation [41,42]. This particular feature of DL is also called Transfer Learning (TL) [43] and is highly popular in ML and DL community [44–46]. In this regard, a comprehensive review on DL applications in medical imaging was recently attempted [47]. The review covers different kinds DL models applied in different imaging modalities. Almost 300 articles have been covered. However, an intrinsic understanding of the DL models applied in medical imaging from the point of view of medical professionals is lacking. In this review we have tried to address this issue in the next subsection.

DL like ML can be categorized into two types: supervised and unsupervised. Supervised learning deals with labelled data while unsupervised learning deals with unlabeled data. The machine tries to learn interrelationships between data elements. The most popular DL supervised learning models are currently convolution neural networks (CNN) and residual neural network (RNN). Deep Belief Networks (DBN) and Autoencoders are most popular unsupervised learning models. CNN has been used for both medical image characterization [48,49] and segmentation [50,51]. RNNs have been used for characterization till now [25]. Although DBN is used for unsupervised learning it has been implemented for segmentation [52]. The DL model of autoencoder has been used for both characterization [53,54] and segmentation [55,56]. This representation is diagrammatically shown in Fig. 2. CNN [31] is the most popular DL model for medical imaging. It follows the same principle of hierarchical feature learning of the visual cortex. However, it uses convolution [57] filters in place of weights for its functioning. This convolution operation is diagrammatically shown in Fig. 3. A convolution filter is a matrix of weights which does a point-wise multiplication across the whole image to create neural map or feature map of the image. The CNN applies several convolution filters to create feature maps of the original image which represent its hidden layers. These feature maps are down sampled representations of the original image. Further, these feature maps are worked upon layer by layer to extract high level features. From this point on we will call “hidden layers” as ‘deep layers’. Pooling is applied to these feature maps for dimensionality reduction and extraction of important features. The deep layers of CNN are shown in Fig. 4. This process is repeated creating more deep layers and thus increasing the depth of the network. Finally, an MLP is applied for disease classification This MLP is also called a fully connected network (FCN) in the context of CNN. While the entire body of CNN is related to features, extracted in form of feature maps, the FCN is the final piece at the end of CNN where the classification takes place. Initially the CNN along with FCN weights learn from training data based on the ground truth information. The FCN takes the deep features produced from the deep layers as input to produce the classification output for test data. CNNs allow segmentation too. In the case of segmentation, FCN is not used. The extracted features from the last layers of CNN are either up sampled or the convolution process is reversed to generate segmentation maps [58,59]. The flexibility of CNN for both characterisation and segmentation has made CNN the most popular DL model. In this work, the DL application examples discussed later are some form of CNN. Autoencoders [60] are a class of DL models used for unsupervised learning of data where the target values are actually the inputs. There are two paths in an autoencoder: encoder and decoder. The encoder compresses the image data while the decoder expands it. There are several hidden layers for encoder and same number of layers for decoder. Due to compression and expansion of the image within the autoencoder, the intermediate hidden layers learn complex interrelationships of pixels within an image. The learning of this representation is useful while reconstructing images, clearing noise from image or using it for segmentation or image registration. An example of working is training the autoencoder to desnoise a corrupted input image [61]. Residual neural network (RNN) [35] is also gaining popularity in disease classification in radiology images. An RNN functions by learning the extra residual features between a high level and lower level deep layers. This helps in preventing accuracy saturation even if network becomes deeper. Deep Belief Network (DBN) [62] is another unsupervised deep neural network which is trained layer-by-layer to reduce reconstruction error. The detailed working of each model is provided [63].

DL algorithms suffer from over-fitting and under-fitting. Over-fitting happens if the input medical image used for training the DL is corrupted or very noisy and the weights fit exactly to characterize the existing training data and fail to characterize test data. In under-fitting, the training images does not cover entire spectrum and hence the training of neurons does not generalize to identify test images. Over-fitting and under-fitting leads to faulty training of the DL-based system. ML algorithms too suffer from this phenomenon. One way is regularization where a penalty term is added to the loss function to penalize terms of high order and magnitude (outliers or noise) [64]. This reduces the training error. DL systems generally apply dropout strategy where few network connections are dropped to prevent over-fitting [31].

In the next few sections we will deal with present and future scenarios of DL in radiology, potentialities of DL in radiology, risks of DL, and finally the conclusion.

2. The present state of deep learning-based radiology

Within a very short period of time, DL has taken center stage in the field of medical imaging. In this portion we will review a sampling of
recent literature in DL as it applies to the practice of radiology. This section gives an overview of different image modalities for different organs of human body. We have provided one DL application in Hepatology, Cardiology, Neurology, Urology and Pulmonology. They are given as follows:

2.1. Application in Hepatology for Fatty liver disease risk stratification

In the past few years, DL researchers have shown considerable interest in stratification of liver diseases, segmentation of liver and liver lesions from radiological images. In this regard, Hu et al. [65] proposed a DL model for segmentation of liver from CT images. Li et al. [66] proposed a DL model for liver tumor segmentation from CT images. This work was a significant step in diagnosis of liver cancer. Recently, considerable research efforts have been applied in the area of classification of liver diseases from radiological images. Fatty liver disease (FLD) is one of the major causes of death among the USA adult population (aged 45–54 years) [67]. Early diagnosis and treatment will save countless lives over few years. In this respect, Biswas et al. [68] developed a DL model for tissue characterization (TC) of FLD from liver ultrasound images shown in Fig. 5. The DL model used for characterization is 22 deep layer-ed CNN with special layers called inception layers [69].

The inception layer is a combination of multiple convolution filters applied in a single layer (the convolution filters have been addressed before while discussing CNN). Therefore, inception layer is used to perform multiple convolution operations in a single layer which helps in quick convergence and generalization without increasing depth and complexity of the DL model. The accuracy achieved using the DL model was 100% for 10-fold cross-validation.

2.2. Cardiology application for medial thickness segmentation and cIMT measurement

Stroke or heart attack due to vascular atherosclerosis affects 10 million people worldwide, with fatalities accounting for five million people [70]. In-time diagnosis and medication can mitigate the risk of CVDs. In this respect, a two-stage DL-based system was proposed by Biswas et al. [71] for cIMT measurement. The first stage DL is used for feature extraction. It consists of 13 deep layers which extracts features
from the images. However, unlike other CNN it does not apply FCN at the end for classification. Instead, it uses upsample layers for the features extracted from the first stage and upsample them in the second stage.

These upsampled features [72] form the segmented output of the original image. For this task, two radiologists were employed for tracing lumen-intima (LI) and media adventitia (MA) borders. These tracings form the gold standard of the experiment. The original images were divided into two parts: 90% training and 10% testing. The training images along with their gold standard was fed into the system for training, twice: the first time for learning to segment the LI from the tissue, the second time for learning to segment the MA wall. Once learnt, the test images were segmented. The LI and MA borders were delineated and cIMT was computed for the test images. For, this experiment 396 B-mode ultrasound images of left and right carotid artery was taken from 203 patients. The readings showed an overall improvement of 20% over the sonographer readings. The six sub-images can be seen in Fig. 6, which show low, medium and high risk patients demonstrate the working of the DL-based system. The red and green lines correspond to the LI and MA border drawn by the DL-based system and the corresponding yellow dotted lines denote the gold standard tracings.

2.3. Neurological application for effective treatment in MR-based Acute Ischemic Stroke

In order to treat patients with AIS, it is a necessity to find the volume of salvageable tissue. This volume assessment is based on fixed thresholds and single imaging modalities. A deep CNN model (37 deep layers) [73] using nine MR image biomarkers was trained to predict...
final imaging outcome. Its performance was compared with two other CNN model. The first is CNN of two convolution layers using nine MR image biomarkers and the other is a Tmax CNN (two layers) based on Tmax [74] biomarker. For this experiment, 222 patients were selected. Out of them, 187 were treated with recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator (rtPA). All patients were scanned after symptom onset in the follow-up. The results are shown in Fig. 7. It is seen that the deep CNN model gave better visual output then the other CNN models. It shows that deeper (more number of deep layers) models give a better output than shallow models. Among two patients A and B, patient A (age: 58 years) gave no signs of visual lesions which was correctly predicted by deep CNN model while the other models over predicted. For patient B (age: 44 years) deep CNN prediction is more accurate. While comparing performance, deep CNN had better area-under-curve (AUC) of 0.88 ± 0.12 compared to shallow CNN (AUC: 0.85 ± 0.11) and Tmax CNN (AUC: 0.72 ± 0.14).

2.4. An application in urology for prostate cancer diagnosis

A patch-based Deep-CNN model is proposed to classify prostate cancer (PC) and non-cancer (NC) image patches from multiparametric MR images [75]. In this experiment, the MR image data was collected from 195 patients and images were aligned and resampled to high resolution images. A radiologist with 11 years of experience was employed to label PC and NC zone in the images and draw a region-of-interest (ROI) around them. The images were pre-processed and augmented using rotation, random shift, random stretching and horizontal flip. This was done to increase the dataset size as the patient's cohort was small. Such physical operations also enable DL models to uncover important features within the data. The ROI patch was extracted from all the images. Finally, 159 patients' data (444 ROIs: 215 PC/229 NC) was used for training, 17 patients' data (48 ROIs: 23 PC/25 NC) was used for validation and finally 19 patients' data (55 ROIs: 23 PC/32 NC)
for testing. The Deep CNN model consisted of three blocks followed by a FCN layer. Each block contained three deep layers. The diagnostic accuracy for the test data was in the form of AUC value was 0.944. The outputs of each step are shown in Fig. 8.

2.5. A Pulmonology application for respiratory disease prognosis using CT

A DL study was conducted on CT images on chest to classify patients having chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or not. This study was also poised to predict acute respiratory disease (ARD) events and mortality [76]. The dataset consisted of 7983 COPD Gene participants for training and 1000 COPDGene [77] and 1672 ECLIPSE (Evaluations of COPD Longitudinally to Identify Predictive Surrogate Endpoints) [78] participants for testing. The DL structure consisted of three deep layers [31]. The c-statistic for the detection was 0.856. The overall c-statistic for COPDGene and ECLIPSE datasets was 0.64 and 0.55, respectively. The outputs for each f the three layers of the DL model is shown in Fig. 9.

3. Future of deep learning

3.1. DL in radiology

The impact of DL in industry has been huge [79–90]. The next big leap of automation using DL is happening in the field of radiology. It is evident from the fact that maximum publication with respect to DL in healthcare is happening in medical imaging [91]. The presence of open source software [92–94] has made it possible for researchers and scientist community to build DL tools with relative ease. One possible reason for DL success story is lowering of costs of computer hardware and GPUs [95,96] due to large gaming industry. The usage of GPUs by DL has brought down the computational time which has led to quick training and generalization of the DL models. DL has been used in disease classification [97,98], brain cancer classification [99–101], organ segmentation [102–108], haemorrhage detection [109,110], tumor detection [111–116] are some key progresses. All methodologies showed increase in accuracy then conventional methods.
3.2. Radiology’s future

DL is going is likely to advance medical imaging sciences in the upcoming future. Hospitals and diagnostic centres are needed to upgrade their infrastructure and develop their labs. Medical facilities worldwide must share their databases without compromising the privacy of patients. These databases must be made available for better training of the DL models. The quick processing of image data and availability of reports would enable medical professionals of the future to make a better and timely medical decision-making. This would help in real time treatment of patients and help in saving lives. Overall, the usage of DL in radiology has the potential to improve the health of individual and the wellbeing of society.

4. The future prospective of radiology using deep learning

4.1. Economy

A radiologist can analyse an average of 200 cases a day [117]. A powerful tool such as DL can help radiologists to make accurate decisions in short period of time thus helping him to improve quality of patient’s health and growing the volume at the same time – both locally and remotely (such as telemedicine [118]).

In the US, 260 million images are processed everyday including ultrasound, MR and CT images. A hospital can invest 1000 US dollars in their computational systems to process that many images [119] in a day. This would decrease the delay in treatment and lower the medical costs. It is also forecasted that the computer aided diagnosis (CAD) market powered by DL will generate revenue of 1.9 billion US dollars [120] by 2022.

4.2. Augmented radiology and DL

As human drivers may become redundant with the arrival of driverless cars, people are talking about replacement of radiologists by DL systems backed up by billion dollar medical imaging companies such as GE and IBM. However, the hybridization of machine and human intelligence will lead to better prediction model e.g., it has been observed that in a stable, controlled environment statistical models such as DL will be at least as good as, if not better than humans. In real time, however, the environment may change rapidly, data may become noisy, and patterns will become difficult to read. In such situations the statistical models may not work properly or even may fail to give accurate predictions. In such situations, collective intelligence of both man and machine will likely be necessary to better prediction accuracy. Therefore, consensus-based models are needed to be built with equal participation from both man and machine to develop a better hybrid prediction model. The value of human intelligence may actually increase where automation is not possible. The application of DL in radiology will lead to an augmentation of the radiologist’s capabilities, by combining technology with human intelligence [121–124].

Radiologists are also going to benefit from applications of DL in picture archiving and communication system (PACS) [125]. DL implementation in PACS will make the availability of images faster, reliable and more accurate.

4.3. Further development of DL

It is predicted that DL will aid in the performance of routine and mundane tasks in radiology while the human will use higher decision-making to render final judgements. The main features in medical diagnosis and prediction using DL techniques will make the consultation to be more interactive between patients and doctors. This will happen as the DL systems will be able to provide evidence when clinical decision to be made is under uncertainty. DL has the potential to develop an ecosystem where medical services will be provided round the clock taking all data into consideration i.e., radiology, patient history, bioinformatics, clinical radiological trials such as retrospective,
prospective or longitudinal. This will lead to better patient care.

5. Challenges and risks in deep learning

There are several ethical and moral concerns with regards to implementation of AIDL in clinical diagnosis process. This can be divided into three categories: safety, privacy and morality.

5.1. Safety

Medical professionals are guided by the code “first, do no harm”. AI systems, if employed, will be responsible for safeguarding patients at their most vulnerable state, with no room for preventable error. Medical regulatory bodies should ensure that DL systems are employed following stringent rules ensuring that they are highly robust and accurate. Safety standards should also be time tested and be highly reliable.

5.2. Privacy

DL requires training imaging databases. This will require patient information to be stored in some secure server. Any breach in security will lead to loss of privacy of data. Precautionary measures should be taken and laws should be in place to protect the privacy of patients before allowing DL. Data transmission protocols must be tightly secured and only transmitter or receiver of the image data can truly extract the image information only if they possess the authority.

5.3. Legality

There is a legal issues related with application of DL technologies in healthcare. The foremost is to whom legal liability should be assigned if DL makes a wrong judgement. It is possible that the solution may involve some shared liability between the human authority responsible for design of the DL model and the physician overseeing the application of a given DL technology.

6. Conclusion

In this study we had a broad overlook over the various facets of DL in the field of radiology. We reviewed the concept of DL, its evolution, and presented various DL applications in radiology. We briefly stated the present scenario regarding application of DL in radiology. We also outlined the future potential and its risks in DL pertaining to health care. When properly utilized, DL has the potential to increase the value to the radiologist in the delivery of healthcare by improving patient’s outcomes while reducing costs.
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Appendix A

In this review, we have collected information on current research on DL applications in several fields such as genomics, imaging and signal processing. Initially, we looked using the keyword “deep learning”, “convolution”, “healthcare” using search engines such as Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, PubMed, SCOPUS and IEEE databases, however this search was inconclusive as it opened up almost all deep learning related works. We therefore modified our search terms to include imaging modalities such as “MRI”, “CT”, and “Ultrasound”. Within MRI, different variants of MRI were searched: magnetic resonance spectroscopy and functional MRI. Similarly for CT, positron emission tomography (PET), single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), and contrast CT. Further, different versions of ultrasound were also searched i.e., Doppler, A-mode, B-Mode ultrasound generalized ultrasound. Specific keywords were tried, such as translational bioinformatics, medical imaging, pervasive sensing, medical informatics and public health. We segregated the relevant research outputs as per the type of modality i.e., “MRI”, “CT”, and “Ultrasound”. Inside each segregated class, we further categorized each paper as their organ or disease type. This search went on iteratively bimonthly. We had collected over 150 articles during this process. We focused on medical imagery in the deep learning paradigm for the review paper and selected few papers published recently based on organ types to assess the work done in various physiologicals.”
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